The Influence of Product Quality, E-WOM And Brand Ambassadors on Purchasing Decisions for Somethinc Products

Study on Students of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya

Teresa Ika Oktaviani*, Dwi Indah Mustikorini

Wijaya Kusuma University, Surabaya, Indonesia *Corresponding author. Email: teresaikaa@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In recent years, the trend of using skincare has been very beneficial for skin improvement. The beauty industry is very competitive and each brand has many creative ways of presenting skincare products. In people's lives, especially women, of course, care a lot about their appearance. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of product quality, E-WOM, and Brand Ambassador on Purchasing Decisions for Somethinc products for active students of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya. The method used in this research is quantitative method, respondents totaling 110 students were taken using a questionnaire. The results showed that Product Quality and E-WOM had a significant effect on purchasing decisions for Somethinc products. While Brand Ambassador has no significanteffect on purchasing decisions for Somethinc products.

Keywords: Product Quality, E-WOM, Brand Ambassador, Purchasing Decision

1. INTRODUCTION

In this increasingly advanced era, developments in information technology, economics, socio-culture, and other sectors have led to intense competition, one of which is the field of beauty or cosmetics. This can be proven by the increasing number of skincare and cosmetic products that have their respective benefits from various brands, both imported and local brands.

In the life of modern society, especially women are very concerned about their appearance, most of whom want to always look attractive, beautiful, and perfect. In recent years, the trend of using skincare is very useful for skin improvement from the outside. Skincare is a series of skincare activities that can help the skin look healthier and more well- groomed. Using skincare can maintain skin health while improving skin problems, such as wrinkles, dullness, dark spots, acne and acne scars.

One of the skincare products that is being loved by consumers today is skincare from the Somethinc brand. Somethinc is a local beauty brand that produces skincare, makeup, and beauty tools. Somethinc was founded by Irene Ursula in 2019 because she was inspired by the crisis in choosing high-quality skincare and makeup products among the millennial generation. Somethinc focuses on skincare inspired by millennial women who seek halal-certified products for their active lifestyle. Although Somethinc skincare and makeup products are still relatively new in the beauty industry, their products are accompanied by halal certificates from MUI and affordable prices make this product attractive to consumers.

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of product quality on purchasing decisions for Somethinc products, to determine the effect of E-WOM on purchasing decisions for Somethinc products, to determine the effect of brand ambassadors on purchasing decisions for Somethinc products, to determine the effect of product quality, E-WOM, and brand ambassadors on purchasing decisions for Somethinc products.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

In this study, researchers used a quantitative approach. In this study, researchers distributed questionnaires, the population used in this study were active students of the facultyof economics and business, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya, class of 2020 - 2022 with a sample of 110 respondents. The sampling technique in this study used non-probability sampling, with a purposive sampling method or determining the sample with certain criteria.

e-ISSN: 3047-857X

3. RESULTS

The questionnaires in the study were distributed to 110 respondents, so that the answers of the 110 respondents were processed in further analysis.

3.1 Validity Test

Table 1. Validity Test

| Variable | Item | Total Pearson Correlation | Sig. (2-tailed) | Standard Pearson Correlation | Description |
|-----------------|------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Product | X1.1 | 0,885 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| Quality (X1) | X1.2 | 0,945 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| • , , | X1.3 | 0,906 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| | X1.4 | 0,915 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| E-WOM (X2) | X2.1 | 0,951 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| , , | X2.2 | 0,950 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| | X2.3 | 0,955 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| Brand | X3.1 | 0,890 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| Ambassador (X3) | X3.2 | 0,944 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| , , | X3.3 | 0,851 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| | X3.4 | 0,925 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| | X3.5 | 0,914 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| Purchase | X4.1 | 0,899 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| Decision (Y) | X4.2 | 0,877 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| | X4.3 | 0,921 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |
| | X4.4 | 0,842 | 0,000 | 0,4 | Valid |

Source: Data Processing (2024)

Based on the validity test results in table 1, it shows that all question items on the product quality variable (X1), E-WOM (X2), and Brand Ambassador (X3) have a Pearson correlation >0.4 and a significant value <0.05. This shows that the statement items in this research instrument are declared valid.

3.2 Reliability Test

Table 2. Reliability Test

| Variable | Cronbach's Alpha | Reliabilities Minimum | Description |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Produk Quality (X1) | 0,933 | 0,7 | Reliable |
| E-WOM (X2) | 0,948 | 0,7 | Reliable |
| Brand Ambassador (X3) | 0,944 | 0,7 | Reliable |
| Purchase Decision (Y) | 0,905 | 0,7 | Reliable |

Source: Data Processing (2024)

Based on the validity test results in table 2, it shows that all statement items on the product quality variable (X1), E-WOM (X2), and Brand Ambassador (X3) show a Cronbach's Alpha value >0.7. This shows that in this study all variables are declared reliable or acceptable.

3.3 Multiple Linear Regression Test

Table 3. Multiple Linear Regression Test

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------|------|----------------------------|-------|
| | В | Std. Error | Beta | | | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 (Constant) | .442 | .802 | | .551 | .583 | | |
| PRODUCT QUALITY X1 | .469 | .105 | .450 | 4.477 | .000 | .192 | 5.220 |
| EWOM X2 | .425 | .118 | .325 | 3.615 | .000 | .239 | 4.177 |
| BRAND.AMBASSADOR.X3 | .133 | .081 | .160 | 1.641 | .104 | .203 | 4.916 |

Based on table 3, the multiple linear regression equation can be obtained as follows:

$$Y = 0.442 + 0.469 X1 + 0.425 X2 + 0.133 X3 + e$$
 (1)



The constant value of 0.442 indicates that the variables of product quality (X1), E- WOM (X2), and Brand Ambassador (X3) are constant, the value of purchasing decisions (Y) is 0.422.

The product quality regression coefficient (β 1) is 0.469, this means that product quality(X1) has a positive effect on purchasing decisions (Y) and has a unidirectional relationship. If there is an increase in product quality, the purchasing decision will increase, and vice versa, if the product quality decreases, the purchasing decision will decrease.

The E-WOM regression coefficient (β 2) is 0.425, this means that E-WOM (X2) has a positive effect on purchasing decisions (Y) and has a unidirectional relationship. If there is an increase in E-WOM, the purchasing decision will increase, and vice versa, if E-WOM decreases, the purchasing decision will decrease.

The brand ambassador regression coefficient (β 3) is 0.133, this means that brand ambassadors (X3) have a positive effect on purchasing decisions (Y) and have a unidirectional relationship. If there is an increase in product quality, the purchasing decision will increase, and vice versa, if the product quality decreases, the purchasing decision will decrease.

3.4 F Test

Table 4. F Test

| Mod | del | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-----|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|---------|------|
| | Regression | 1229.848 | 3 | 409.949 | 136.972 | .000 |
| 1 | Residual | 317.252 | 106 | 2.993 | | |
| | Total | 1547.100 | 109 | | | |

Source: Data Processing (2024)

Based on table 4, the value of Fcount>Ftable is 136.972>2.69. With a significance value of 0.000 which means less than 0.05. Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted, this proves that the independent variables consisting of product quality, E-WOM, and Brand Ambassador have a simultaneous (joint) effect on purchasing decisions. Thus, it can be concluded that hypothesis 4 is accepted.

3.5 T Test

Table 5. T Test

| | Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | Т | Sig. |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|------|
| | | В | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| Ī | (Constant) | .442 | .802 | | .551 | .583 |
| | PRODUCT QUALITY X1 | .469 | .105 | .450 | 4.477 | .000 |
| | EWOM X2 | .425 | .118 | .325 | 3.615 | .000 |
| | BRAND AMBASSADOR X3 | .133 | .081 | .160 | 1.641 | .104 |

Source: Data Processing (2024)

Based on table 5, the Effect of Product Quality (X1) on Purchasing Decisions (Y) Based on table 5, it is explained that Tcount> Ttabel, namely 4.477>1.982 and a significance value of 0.000<0.05. It can be concluded that H1 is accepted, which means that the product quality variable (X1) has a significant effect on purchasing decisions (Y) Somethinc products.

The Effect of E-WOM (X2) on Purchasing Decisions (Y) Based on table 5, it is explained that Tcount>Ttable, namely 3.615>1.982 and a significance value of 0.000<0.05. It can be concluded that H2 is accepted, which means that the E-WOM variable (X2) has a significant effect on purchasing decisions (Y) Somethinc products.

The Effect of Brand Ambassador (X3) on Purchasing Decisions (Y) Based on table 5, it is explained that Tcount>Ttable, namely 1.641<1.982 and a significance value of 0.104> 0.05. It can be concluded that H3 is rejected, which means that the Brand Ambassador variable (X3) has no significant effect on purchasing decisions (Y) Somethinc products.

3.6 Multiple Determination Coefficient (R2)

Table 6. Multiple Determination Coefficient (R²)

e-ISSN: 3047-857X

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Durbin- Watson |
|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | .892a | .795 | .789 | 1.730 | 2.201 |

Source: Data Processing (2024)

Based on table 6, it can be explained that the Rsquare value is 0.795 which indicates that 79.5% of the product quality, E-WOM, and Brand Ambassador variables have an influence on purchasing decisions. While the remaining 20.5% is influenced by other variables outside the study.

3.7 Discussion

In this study, it can be concluded that there is a significant effect of product quality (X) onpurchasing decisions (Y) for Somethinc products. This shows that the better the quality of products that can meet consumer needs, the higher the consumer's decision to make a purchase of Somethinc products. The results of this study are in line with research conducted by (Anggraeni & Kojra, 2021) which explains that Product Quality has an effect on purchasing decisions.

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that there is a significant influence of E-WOM (X2) on purchasing decisions (Y) for Somethinc products. This shows that with the existence of E-WOM and the better E-WOM, the higher the consumer's decision to make a purchase of Somethinc products. The results in this study are in line with research conducted by (Nuraidah & Megawati, 2023) which explains that the E-WOMvariable has an effect on purchasing decisions.

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that Brand Ambassador (X3) has no effect on purchasing decisions (Y) for Somethinc products. This shows that the more unpopular a Brand Ambassador is, the lower the consumer's decision to make a purchase of Somethinc products. The results of this study are in line with research (Amin & Yanti, 2021) which explains that Brand Ambassadors have no effect on purchasing decisions.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research and discussion in the previous chapter, it can be concluded that Product quality has a significant effect on purchasing decisions for Somethine products for students of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya. E-WOM has a significant effect on purchasing decisions for Somethine products for students of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya. While Brand Ambassador has no significant effect on purchasing decisions for Somethine products for students of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya. And last, Product quality, E-WOM, and Brand Ambassador have a significant effect on purchasing decisions for Somethine products for students of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya.

REFERENCE

- Amin, AM, & Yanti, RF (2021). The Influence of Brand Ambassador, E-WOM, Lifestyle, Country of Origin and Motivation on Purchasing Decisions for Korean Nature Republic Skincare Products. INVEST: Journal of Business Innovation and Accounting, 2 (1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.55583/invest.v2i1.111
- Anggraeni, TC, & Kojra, DA (2021). The Influence of Price, Product Quality, and Celebrity Endorser on Purchasing Decisions for MS GLOW Skincare Products. Dewantara EcobisJournal Vol. 4 No. 2 June 2021, 4 (2), 78–88.
- Nuraidah, & Megawati, L. (2023). The Influence of Digital Marketing and e-WOM on Purchasing Decisions for Ms Glow Skincare Products (Survey of Ms Glow Sukabumi Store Customers). Scientific Journal of Educational Vehicles, 9 (8), 386–400.
- Top 5 Facial Care Brands in Indonesian E-commerce with Sales above IDR 10 Billion. (2023). Accessed on October 18, 2023, from https://compas.co.id/article/top-5-brand-treatment-hadap/